	Case 1:25-cv-00272-GSA Docum	ent 22	Filed 04/03/25	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10		1		
11	GEORGE LEE COLE, JR.,		No. 1:25-cv-00272	2 GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		APPLICATIONS 7	ARDING PLAINTIFF'S TO PROCEED IN FORMA
13	V.		PAUPERIS AS MO	OOT
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND		(ECF Nos. 2, 15)	
15	REHABILITATION, et al.,		PLAINTIFF EITH	NG ORDER DIRECTING ER TO SUBMIT A
16 17	Defendants.			ORMA PAUPERIS OR TO PAY THE FILING
18			(ECF No. 13)	
19				NG PLAINTIFF TO FILE A IN FORMA PAUPERIS
20				R PAY THE FILING FEE
21				N-PRISONER IN FORMA
22			PAUPERIS APPLI DUE IN FOURTE	ICATION OR FILING FEE EN DAYS
23				
24	Plaintiff, a former state prisoner <sup>1</sup> proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this			
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United			
27	On April 2, 2025, a notice of change of address filed by Plaintiff was docketed. ECF No. 19.			
28	In it, the new address Plaintiff provides appears to be a residential one indicating that Plaintiff has likely been released from custody.			
	mon com released from easiedy.		1	

States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

Before this Court are Plaintiff's two pending applications to proceed in forma pauperis.

See ECF Nos. 2, 15. The motions were filed while Plaintiff was incarcerated. See ECF No. 2 at 1; see also ECF No. 15 at 1 (cover pages indicating prisons in which Plaintiff was incarcerated).

For the reasons stated below, the Court will disregard the in forma pauperis applications as moot, and will vacate its March 6, 2025, order which directed Plaintiff either to file a signed prisoner in forma pauperis application or to pay the filing fee in full. In addition, Plaintiff will be ordered either to complete and file a non-prisoner in forma pauperis application or to pay the filing fee in full. Plaintiff will be given fourteen days to take either course of action.

## I. RELEVANT FACTS

On January 27, 2025, Plaintiff's complaint and his application to proceed in forma pauperis were docketed in the Central District of California. ECF Nos. 1, 2. The same day, Plaintiff was informed by the Court that his application to proceed in forma pauperis had not been signed. ECF No. 3. On March 4, 2025, the matter was transferred to this District. ECF Nos. 10. 11. As a result, the deficient in forma pauperis application was never addressed.

On March 6, 2025, the undersigned ordered Plaintiff either to file a prisoner application to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the filing fee in full. See ECF No. 13. Plaintiff was given thirty days to take either course of action. Id. at 1. Currently, there remain a few days for Plaintiff to comply with that order. On March 31, 2025, a prisoner in forma pauperis application filed by Plaintiff was docketed. ECF No. 15.

On April 2, 2025, Plaintiff filed a notice of change of address with the Court. ECF No. 19. Plaintiff's new address appears to be a residential one, indicating that he is no longer incarcerated. See id.

## II. DISCUSSION

Since the filing of Plaintiff's two in forma pauperis applications for a prisoner, he has been released from prison. See ECF No. 19 (change of address notice indicating residential address). As a result, the two in forma pauperis applications are moot, and they will be disregarded as such.

28